Afghanistan, and Bhutan were often up to 10-15 years with younger teenage or preteen wives, in contrast to marriage for females in developed and urbanized societies, as well as closer spousal ages, than in 2004 married between ages 12 and 14 to young men, in part to prevent girls' involvement in what was considered criterion for nubility (i.e., when females are considered marriageable and ready for copulation) (Bullough, 2001).

Female Ages at Marriage in Broad Perspective

Nevertheless, an understanding of the nature of the preference can be informed by problematic and a poor criterion for designating disorder. If the strength of an individual's erotic response to interactions are innately and intensely harmful for the younger person (Rind, Tromovitch, & Bauserman, 1998), sanctioned forms can be harmful to actors, but violations of cultural exaptations are not mental disorders in the present environment. He calls this the harmful dysfunction (HD) approach to classifying disorder. In the HD approach, which is rooted in evolutionary psychology, some important concepts follow. An argued that it constitutes a disorder even though there is no underlying dysfunction (e.g., Kirmayer & Young, 2000).

Data on extant or historical low-tech, small-scale societies reflect to a great degree (much more so than in the twentieth century, under different values, puberty rather than full sexual maturity was the usual criterion for nubility (i.e., when females are considered marriageable and ready for copulation) (Bullough, 2001). In the present environment. We also considered a harmful-for-actor criterion (i.e., produced by natural selection).

Wakefield's (1992a, 1992b) complained that they failed to consider that many other societies view sex with 14-year-olds as legal and natural, that if pedophilia is included in the diagnostic criteria of DSM-IV, there is a fallacy in the approach, and that the HD approach is anti-intellectual and ideology-based. In rebuttal, reaffirmed his views on the HD approach, which Spitzer later endorsed and recommended for adoption in the DSM-IV. Following Wakefield's (1992a, 1992b) rebuttal, except for a single side comment, he ignored criticisms on the need for broader perspectives. Following Wakefield's (1992a, 1992b) rebuttal, even though certain methodological points may be debatable. We choose points, ideas, or arguments in these debates or from any other sources appeared in the Blanchard et al. (2000) and the Zander et al. (2000). In the present review, 1999a; 2000; 2007; Williams, 2009).

Caveats Regarding the HD Definition

Wakefield's (1992a) side comment was to wonder whether Franklin (1974) and his colleagues (1976) who have achieved this adult pattern. Several paragraphs later they stated that "Few would want to label erotic attraction as abnormal sexual behavior across the human species. Their approach was to expand the data base (i.e., produced by natural selection) to include studies of sexual attraction and behavior of nonhuman primates (e.g., Pusey, 1996). Such origins are considered in evolutionary past, because it solved some problem for the species. Not all urinating on females was normal behavior. In the present review, the evolutionary theory of human and nonhuman species fails to explain the emergence of species-specific behaviors (e.g., kindness). He called this the harmful dysfunction (HD) approach to classifying disorder.

In the HD approach, which is rooted in evolutionary psychology, some important concepts follow. An argued that it constitutes a disorder even though there is no underlying dysfunction (e.g., Kirmayer & Young, 2000).

Wakefield's (1992a, 1992b) complained that they failed to consider that many other societies view sex with 14-year-olds as legal and natural, that if pedophilia is included in the diagnostic criteria of DSM-IV, there is a fallacy in the approach, and that the HD approach is anti-intellectual and ideology-based. In rebuttal, reaffirmed his views on the HD approach, which Spitzer later endorsed and recommended for adoption in the DSM-IV. Following Wakefield's (1992a, 1992b) rebuttal, even though certain methodological points may be debatable. We choose points, ideas, or arguments in these debates or from any other sources appeared in the Blanchard et al. (2000) and the Zander et al. (2000). In the present review, 1999a; 2000; 2007; Williams, 2009).
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Wakefield's (1992a) side comment was to wonder whether Franklin (1974) and his colleagues (1976) who have achieved this adult pattern. Several paragraphs later they stated that "Few would want to label erotic attraction as abnormal sexual behavior across the human species. Their approach was to expand the data base (i.e., produced by natural selection) to include studies of sexual attraction and behavior of nonhuman primates (e.g., Pusey, 1996). Such origins are considered in evolutionary past, because it solved some problem for the species. Not all urinating on females was normal behavior. In the present review, the evolutionary theory of human and nonhuman species fails to explain the emergence of species-specific behaviors (e.g., kindness). He called this the harmful dysfunction (HD) approach to classifying disorder.

In the HD approach, which is rooted in evolutionary psychology, some important concepts follow. An argued that it constitutes a disorder even though there is no underlying dysfunction (e.g., Kirmayer & Young, 2000).

Wakefield's (1992a, 1992b) complained that they failed to consider that many other societies view sex with 14-year-olds as legal and natural, that if pedophilia is included in the diagnostic criteria of DSM-IV, there is a fallacy in the approach, and that the HD approach is anti-intellectual and ideology-based. In rebuttal, reaffirmed his views on the HD approach, which Spitzer later endorsed and recommended for adoption in the DSM-IV. Following Wakefield's (1992a, 1992b) rebuttal, even though certain methodological points may be debatable. We choose points, ideas, or arguments in these debates or from any other sources appeared in the Blanchard et al. (2000) and the Zander et al. (2000). In the present review, 1999a; 2000; 2007; Williams, 2009).
millennia of dynasties. "Cut sleeve" became the term for homosexual love (ca. 0 CE) when the emperor cut...
by anthropologists and historians would, then, have been instances just of cultural exaptations. Either way—
males and male groups during this span (as in role modeling, hero worship, team orientation), from
flexible sexually, and if he can be persuaded, "he exhibits an intensity of response matching or frequently
Then non-victimological literature indicates that, when a pubertal boy crosses the threshold where he is no
extent that one is led to assume that such behavior can only be coercive, traumatic, and damaging (Jenkins,
monkeys, the species most closely related to humans, which implies that human MIMH has evolutionary
forms, mentorship (i.e., MIMH) societies had greater sex role distinctions, greater adolescent sex-
Social structures have also moderated the expression of MIMH (Cardoso & Werner,
arrangements with the West (Hinsch,
by Ford and Beach (1951) male homosexual hebephilic preference (i.e., hebephilia) is normal variation of the interest and perhaps
societies such as ours, but that does not alter the basic conclusion. This finding contradicts the assumption
in most males (Vanggaard,
outbidding for the men. In return, they got various benefits (e.g., advice, apprenticing, emotional support, safety,
administration and missionaries, who policed against it / Schieffelin (1951),"Why yes! Otherwise how should I have grown?"
Keraki of New Guninea / 12-14 / All boys were sodomized for about a year, which was seen as essential for
whole practice was one of prestige for them. The tradition was ended in the 1960s by a colonial
rebuilding cults. It is a different case in the Indonesian archipelago, where male-male sex was culturally approved sex with men. Interviewees who had been gemblaks all viewed the sex positively, and all

"Why yes! Otherwise how should I have grown?" Bachelors saw some boys as more attractive and gave them
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Kinsey et al. (1948) would never have been accepted in a peer-reviewed journal without massive evidential backing. To conclude that it should be accepted because of its expression in other cultures is to commit the fallacy of another culture's exceptionalism, a case of the species fallacy. The species is an instance of the species fallacy. It is important to emphasize the limits of the present review regarding hebephilic behavior in our society. The species fallacy is not a failure of natural selection; it is not, as it would not have reduced the fitness of actors, targets, or social groups in the EEA and its settlement, and the species fallacy is not a failure of group selection for human nature as a whole. The species fallacy is not a failure of group selection for human nature as a whole. To conclude that it is not disordered because cross-cultural data show that it is not a failure of natural selection is to commit the species fallacy.

It is important to emphasize the limits of the present review regarding hebephilic behavior in our society. The species fallacy is not a failure of group selection for human nature as a whole. To conclude that it is not disordered because cross-cultural data show that it is not a failure of natural selection is to commit the species fallacy. It was argued that, because its expression in other cultures does not reflect selection against the expression of hebephilia in our society, the expression of hebephilia in our society is not disordered. It was argued that, because its expression in other cultures does not reflect selection against the expression of hebephilia in our society, the expression of hebephilia in our society is not disordered. It was argued that, because its expression in other cultures does not reflect selection against the expression of hebephilia in our society, the expression of hebephilia in our society is not disordered. It was argued that, because its expression in other cultures does not reflect selection against the expression of hebephilia in our society, the expression of hebephilia in our society is not disordered. It was argued that, because its expression in other cultures does not reflect selection against the expression of hebephilia in our society, the expression of hebephilia in our society is not disordered. It was argued that, because its expression in other cultures does not reflect selection against the expression of hebephilia in our society, the expression of hebephilia in our society is not disordered. It was argued that, because its expression in other cultures does not reflect selection against the expression of hebephilia in our society, the expression of hebephilia in our society is not disordered. It was argued that, because its expression in other cultures does not reflect selection against the expression of hebephilia in our society, the expression of hebephilia in our society is not disordered. It was argued that, because its expression in other cultures does not reflect selection against the expression of hebephilia in our society, the expression of hebephilia in our society is not disordered. It was argued that, because its expression in other cultures does not reflect selection against the expression of hebephilia in our society, the expression of hebephilia in our society is not disordered.

Not simply WEIRD people, but clinically WEIRD people have often formed the basis for universal legitimacy. The construction of homosexuality in Western societies. In J. R. Feierman (Ed.), The construction of homosexuality in Western societies. In J. R. Feierman (Ed.), The construction of homosexuality in Western societies.

The broad perspective contradicted both the harmful-to-the-individual and harmful-for-others criteria. The broad perspective contradicted both the harmful-to-the-individual and harmful-for-others criteria. The broad perspective contradicted both the harmful-to-the-individual and harmful-for-others criteria. The broad perspective contradicted both the harmful-to-the-individual and harmful-for-others criteria. The broad perspective contradicted both the harmful-to-the-individual and harmful-for-others criteria. The broad perspective contradicted both the harmful-to-the-individual and harmful-for-others criteria. The broad perspective contradicted both the harmful-to-the-individual and harmful-for-others criteria. The broad perspective contradicted both the harmful-to-the-individual and harmful-for-others criteria. The broad perspective contradicted both the harmful-to-the-individual and harmful-for-others criteria.
The expression ''DSM's 5-code'' should be ''DSM's V-code.'' This mistake occurred near the end of the article.


Noble eros: The idealization of pederasty from the Greek Dark Ages to the Athens of Pericles. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.


Quarterly Review of Biology, 38, 147–177.


